

# Atomistic Modeling for Boron Diffusion in Strained Silicon Substrate

Young-Kyu Kim, Kwan-Sun Yoon, Joong-Sik Kim, Han-Geon Kim and Taeyoung Won

Department of Electrical Engineering, School of Engineering, Inha University  
and National IT Research Center for Computational Electronics

253 Yonghyun-dong, Nam-gu, Incheon, Korea 402-751

E-mail: [kyk@hse.inha.ac.kr](mailto:kyk@hse.inha.ac.kr), Tel: +82-32-875-7436, Fax +82-32-862-1350

## Abstract

We discuss boron diffusion in biaxial tensile strained {001} Si and SiGe layer with kinetic Monte Carlo (KMC) method. We created strain in silicon by adding a germanium mole fraction to the silicon in order to perform a theoretical analysis. The strain energy of the charged defects was calculated from *ab-initio* calculation whereas the diffusivity of boron was extracted from the Arrhenius formula. Hereby, the influence of the germanium content on the dopant diffusivity was estimated. Our KMC study revealed that the diffusion of the B atoms was retarded with increasing germanium mole fraction in the strained silicon layer. Furthermore, we derived the functional dependence of the in-plane strain as well as the out-of-plane strain on the germanium mole fraction, which is based on the distribution of equivalent stress along the Si/SiGe interface.

## 1. Introduction

Recently, the incorporation of a biaxial tensile strain in the channel region has attracted a great deal of attention due to enhance carrier mobility and make shallow junction for nano-scale Metal Oxide Semiconductor Field Effect Transistor (CMOS) [1]. Despite semiconductor industry adopts the strained silicon technology for the channel, the understanding of the dopant diffusion in the strained silicon is premature. We investigated energies of boron charged defects and minimum migration path by performing *ab-initio* calculation. We undertook the atomistic investigation on the boron diffusion in biaxial tensile strained {001} silicon as well as the relaxed  $\text{Si}_x\text{Ge}_{1-x}$  layer with kinetic Monte Carlo (KMC) method basis on *ab-initio* results.

## 2. Simulation and Results

Figure 1 is a schematic diagram illustrating a Metal-Oxide Semiconductor Field Effect Transistor (MOSFET) structure with a biaxial strained silicon layer on silicon-germanium buffer. We assumed that the silicon-germanium buffer layer is thick enough for the wide range of germanium

mole fraction (10 ~ 60 %) to be considered as being relaxed. In this work, we investigated the impurity profile from the surface vertically down to the 500 nm during the implant process as well as the diffusion process.

We investigated the alteration of  $\text{Si}_{1-x}\text{Ge}_x$  lattice constant by  $x$  (Ge mole fraction). The lattice constant of  $\text{Si}_{1-x}\text{Ge}_x$  can be expressed by

$$a_{\text{SiGe}} = (1-x)a_{\text{Si}} + xa_{\text{Ge}} \quad (1)$$

where  $a_{\text{Si}}$  and  $a_{\text{Ge}}$  lattice constant of Si and Ge, respectively. The perpendicular elements were calculated by elastic theory. According to the elastic theory, “In-plane” and “Out-of-plane” strain can be calculated by

$$\varepsilon_{\parallel} = (a_{\text{SiGe}} - a_{\text{Si}}) / a_{\text{Si}} \quad (2)$$

$$\varepsilon_{\perp} = (a_{\perp} - a_{\text{Si}}) / a_{\text{Si}} \quad (3)$$

and the each strain ingredient was able to be changed other strain it according to equation



$$\varepsilon_{\perp} / \varepsilon_{\parallel} = -2(C_{12} / C_{11}) \quad (4)$$

where  $C_{11}$  and  $C_{12}$  are the elastic constants of Si. We used experimental consequence of  $C_{11}=167\text{Gpa}$  and  $C_{12}=65\text{Gpa}$  [2]. Through the equation of number three, we completed the  $\text{Si}_{1-x}\text{Ge}_x$  lattice constant on Ge concentration. The lattice constants of parallel direction had increasing trend on the Ge concentration. And those of perpendicular direction had decreasing drift by Ge concentration. But, the altering trend of parallel component was small bigger than other. Such an each of lattice constant had a thread of connection with the lattice constant of strained Si.

Tables I how the list of magnitude of the formation energy for charged defects, i.e.  $\text{Bi}^+$ ,  $\text{Bi}$ , and  $\text{Bi}^-$ , respectively, with varying the germanium mole fraction from 10 to 60 percent. The formation energy is needed for the next-step Kinetic Monte Carlo (KMC) calculation for boron. Tables II shows

the list of magnitude of the migration energy for charged defects, i.e.  $\text{Bi}^+$ ,  $\text{Bi}$ , and  $\text{Bi}^-$ , respectively, with varying the germanium mole fraction from 10 to 60 percent. Both chemical and mechanical effects due to the presence of strain in silicon layer are merged into the numerical numbers such as the migration and formation energy in Table I and Table II.

In order to calculate the corresponding energy of boron in the SiGe and strained Si layer, we took into account the charged defects of boron via Density Functional Theory (DFT). After introducing the defects to a super cell, all the atoms in the cell were relaxed to get the minimum energy. The Nudged Elastic Band Method (NEBM) determines the minimum energy from starting point (the lowest formation energy) to the saddle point [3]. We referred to the charged defect values of boron from the previous experiment articles and then extracted the formation and migration energies of boron following each charged defect by Ge concentration. We assumed the migration pathway as:



For neutrally charged B-Si complex,  $\text{Bs-Si}^T$  is the most stable state which is composed by a substitutional B and tetrahedral Si interstitial.  $\text{Bi}^S$  is the second stable state where B and Si interstitial share one lattice site along the  $\langle 100 \rangle$  direction.  $\text{Bi}^H$  is the third stable configuration where B is in hexagonal interstitial site. For a positively charged B-Si complex,  $\text{Bs-Si}^{T+}$  is the most stable configuration which comprises one electron less than  $\text{Bs-Si}^T$ .  $\text{Bi}^{B+}$  is the next stable state in a positive pathway where interstitial B is located at a bond-centered site. For negatively charged B-Si complex,  $\text{Bi}^{X-}$  is the most stable site where B and Si interstitial share one lattice site along the  $\langle 110 \rangle$  direction.  $\text{Bi}^{S-}$  is the next stable configuration where one extra electron is present than  $\text{Bi}^S$ . We expressed the each boron site in super cell on Fig. 2.

This calculation allowed us to notice that  $E_F$  (formation energy) of positive  $\text{Bs-Si}^T$  increases a little bit and that  $E_F$  of negative  $\text{Bi}^{X-}$  decreases a little. We also found that all of charged defects decrease more energy with Ge concentration than  $E_F$ . Figure 3 is shown the  $E_M$  (migration energy) trend. These investigations enabled us to discover B diffusivity trend in strained silicon by Ge concentration. The boron diffusivity should be modified by taking the

strain effect into account with the data shown in Tables 1 and 2. The boron diffusivity can be written by Arrhenius form. We displayed B diffusivity by Ge concentration in Fig. 4 on 970°C temperature. It showed that B diffusivity was a sharp decline by increasing Ge concentration. This figure gave a hint that diffusion of B will be retard to us. Consequently, it should be noted that more Ge concentration in the layer causes the reduction in energy when compared with unstrained case. This phenomenon seems to be due to the combination of elastic stress and band gap narrowing.

We performed numerical simulations on boron diffusion in silicon-germanium and strained Si above the silicon-germanium layer with basis on the preparatory work for numerical simulation. In our KMC methods, a physical system, which consists of many possible events, evolves as a series of independent event occurring. All events have their own event rates. Event rates are calculated by equation (8).  $E_b$  is a migration energy barrier for jump event of the mobile species or a binding energy for clusters evaporation.  $\nu_0$  is the attempt frequency, which is simply the vibration frequency of the atoms. Typically, it is of the order of 1/100 fs. These parameters come from *ab-initio* calculation or experimental data.

$$\nu = \nu_0 \exp\left(\frac{-E_b}{K_B T}\right) \quad (8)$$

We consider thermally activated events in a thermal-annealing simulation after ion implantation. If the probability for the next event to occur is independent of the previous history, and the same at all times, the transition probability is a constant. Then, the process is a so-called Poisson process. To derive the time dependence, consider a single event with a uniform transition probability  $r$ . Let  $f$  be the transition probability density, which gives the probability rate at which the transition occurs at time  $t$ . The change of  $f(t)$  over some short time interval  $dt$  is proportional to  $r$ ,  $dt$  and  $f$ , because  $f$  gives the probability density that the physical system still remains at time  $t$ .

$$df(t) = -rf(t)dt \quad (9)$$

Further, the solution is given by with boundary conditions.

$$f(t) = re^{-rt}, f(0) = r \quad (10)$$

Therefore, the simulation time is updated for  $(t = t + \Delta t)$  according to event rates as follows, because an ensemble of

independent Poisson processes will behave as one large Poisson process:

$$\Delta t = -\frac{\ln u}{R} \quad (11)$$

Here,  $u$  is a random number and  $R$  is the total sum of all possible event rates. We select an event according to the event rates, and KMC is suitable to simulate non-uniform time evolution processes. We simply expressed KMC flow chart in Fig. 5 above detailed explanations.

In the case of the SiGe layer, boron was implanted with energy of 5keV, a dosage of  $10^{15}\text{cm}^{-2}$  and a tilt angle of  $7^\circ$ , followed by the spike Rapid Thermal Anneal (RTA) process at  $970^\circ\text{C}$ . We investigated three cases wherein Ge concentration was varied from 20%, 40% and 60%, respectively. The profiles were compared with the experimental SIMS data in Fig. 6.

In the simulation of strained Si layer, the adjustment of implant energy is not needed for the diffusion modeling in strained Si. However, it is needed to take the energies of the charged defects and the diffusivity of boron into account. This is due to the fact that there does not present a germanium atom in the strained Si layer. We assumed that boron was implanted into the silicon layer above the silicon-germanium layer with 400eV for ultra shallow junction with a dosage of  $10^{15}\text{cm}^{-2}$ , which is identical with the implantation condition for the silicon-germanium layer when the germanium mole fraction is 20 %. Finally, the strained silicon layer was annealed at  $940^\circ\text{C}$  under the spike RTA condition, which was compared with SIMS data in Fig. 7. The simulation results in Figures 6 and 7 imply that the diffusion of boron is drastically retarded in a strained structure when compared to the case for the unstrained silicon.

Furthermore, we formulated a correlation between the equivalent stresses, the in-plane strain and the out-of-plane strain as a function of germanium mole fraction as shown in Table III. Referring to Table III, we can see that the silicon germanium layer with 10 % mole fraction exhibits the in-plane strain of 0.004 and out-of-plane strain of -0.003 which corresponds to 0.47 GPa of stress. Finally, the relationship correlating the magnitude of equivalent stress and the Ge mole fraction in the silicon-germanium layer makes it possible for us to figure out the influence of strain in the silicon layer even if the strain is applied to the silicon layer by mean of any arbitrary method.

### 3. Conclusion

We report our KMC study on the effect of strain on B

diffusion in silicon and relaxed SiGe layer by using charged defect energy and diffusivity. The simulation results imply that boron diffusion is drastically retarded in a strained Si and relaxed SiGe when compared to a case with unstrained silicon. We also derived the distribution of equivalent stress along the heterojunction interface with varying the Ge implant for giving rise to a strain to the pure silicon.

### Acknowledgements

This research was supported by the MIC (Ministry of Information and Communication), Korea, under the ITRC (Information Technology Research Center) support program supervised by the IITA (Institute of Information Technology Advancement) (IITA-2006-C109006030030).

### References

- [1] C. C. Wang, T. Y. Huang, Y. M. Sheu, R. Duffy, A. Heringa, N. E. B. Cowern, P. B. Griffin, and C. H. Diaz\*, Simulation of Semiconductor Processes and Devices (SISPAD), 41 (2004).
- [2] C. G. Van de Walle and R. M. Martin, Phys. Rev. B 34, 5621-5634 (1986).
- [3] K. S. Yoon, C. O. Hwang, J. H. Yoo, and T. Won, J. Korean. Phys. Soc. 48, 535 (2006).




Fig. 1. Schematic figure of the strained silicon MOSFET.




Fig. 2. The possible position of boron in Si super cell.




Fig. 3. Migration energies of boron by Ge concentration.




Fig. 4. In case of 970°C, boron diffusivity in biaxial strained Si layer by Ge concentration.




Fig. 5. The flow chart of kinetic Monte Carlo method.




Fig. 6. Simulation results of boron diffusion and SIMS profile in strained Si and relaxed SiGe.




Fig. 7. Simulation results of boron diffusion (line + symbol) and SIMS profile (line) in the strained Si layer.

Ge concentration (%)	Positive (eV)	Neutral (eV)	Negative (eV)
10	2.36	2.6	3.54
20	2.31	2.6	3.59
40	2.23	2.6	3.67
60	2.16	2.6	3.74

Table I. Formation energy of charged defects as a function of Ge concentration.

Ge concentration (%)	Positive (eV)	Neutral (eV)	Negative (eV)
10	0.71	0.41	0.80
20	0.67	0.37	0.74
40	0.59	0.28	0.63
60	0.50	0.20	0.52

Table II. Migration energy of charged defects as a function of Ge concentration.

Ge concentration (%)	In-plane strain	Out-of-Plane strain	Equivalent stress (GPa)
10	0.004	-0.003	0.47
20	0.008	-0.006	0.93
40	0.016	-0.012	1.87
60	0.024	-0.018	2.80

Table III. In-plane strain, out-of-plane strain, and equivalent stress of Si/SiGe interface direction in terms of Ge concentration.